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#### Abstract

M_{r}=275 \cdot 3\), monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n, \quad a=$ 10.772 (2), $\quad b=6.594$ (1), $\quad c=20.185$ (3) $\AA, \quad \beta=$ $100.60(1)^{\circ}, U=1409.3$ (4) $\AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{m}$ (flotation) $=1.29, \quad D_{x}=1.297 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \quad \mathrm{Cu} K \alpha, \quad \lambda=1.5418 \AA$, $\mu=7.90 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=584$, room temperature, final $R=0.068$ over 1443 reflections. Actiphenol has been shown to be a major product from a deteriorating culture of Streptomyces gelaticus. The molecule possesses an $-\mathrm{OH} \cdots \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}-$ intramolecular hydrogen bond with an $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ separation of 2.573 (4) $\AA$ and an $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ angle of $151(5)^{\circ}$. The glutarimide ring adopts a 1,2 -diplanar, or sofa, conformation and the bond lengths and angles agree with those of similar compounds. The molecules form $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogenbonded dimers, which are held together by van der Waals interactions.


Introduction. The actinomycete Streptomyces gelaticus is known to produce the antibiotic elaiomycin (Ehrlich et al., 1954; Haskell, Ryder \& Bartz, 1954; Stevens, Gillis, French \& Haskell, 1956, 1958; Stevens, Gillis \& Haskell, 1959; Taylor \& Riehl, 1972). During the course of investigations of the biosynthesis of this compound, it was noted that a gradual deterioration of the cultures which led to decreased elaiomycin production also led to an increase in the concentration of an unknown substance in the ethyl acetate extract of the fermentation broth. Isolation of the unknown yielded a crystalline substance, m.p. 478-479 K, whose spectral characteristics indicated that it bore no relationship to elaiomycin. Since the structure of the unknown was not apparent from the spectral data, an X-ray analysis was carried out on a single crystal obtained from ethyl acetate and tetrahydrofuran. The X-ray data revealed that the unknown compound is actiphenol ( $\mathrm{C}-73$ ). Actiphenol, (I), was first isolated by Highet \& Prelog (1959) from an Actinomyces culture (ETH 7796) and has subsequently been obtained from S. albulus, $S$. noursei, S. pulverascens and S. griseus (Bardy,
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Aszalos, Bostian \& McNitt, 1981). Actiphenol exhibits weak biological activity in comparison with the more saturated members of the series (Ennis, 1968), and is the only member of the family of glutarimide antibiotics that contains an aromatic ring (Johnson, 1971).


Experimental. Streptomyces gelaticus obtained from Warner-Lambert Co. (PD No. 04942) was cultivated according to published procedures (Ehrlich et al., 1954; Haskell et al., 1954; Stevens et al., 1956, 1958, 1959; Taylor \& Riehl, 1972). The ethyl acetate extract of 2 L of 4 d old fermentation broth was evaporated in vacuo and the residue chromatographed on two preparative silica TLC plates using $1: 1$ benzene-ethyl acetate. In this system, elaiomycin had an $R_{f}$ of $c a 0.60$ while actiphenol had an $R_{f}$ of $c a 0 \cdot 50$. The crude actiphenol ( 15 mg ) was recrystallized from ethyl acetate-ether to yield 5 mg of colorless crystals, m.p. 478-479 K; lit. m.p. 472 K (Highet \& Prelog, 1959), $[\alpha]_{D}=0^{\circ}$ (EtOH). The crystal used in the X-ray analysis had dimensions of $c a 0.05 \times 0.05 \times 0.40 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Accurate cell dimensions by least-squares refinement of $2 \theta$ values of 15 reflections with $44 \leq 2 \theta \leq 77^{\circ}$, Syntex $P 2_{1}$ automated diffractometer, $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation. Intensities with $4 \leq 2 \theta \leq 130^{\circ}$ collected by $\theta / 2 \theta$ scan with variable-speed scans ( 2.0 to $29.3^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ ). Two check reflections, no significant variation in intensity, measured every 98 reflections. 2421 independent reflections, 1443 with $I \geq 2 \sigma(I)$ considered observed, corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors. Empirical absorption correction applied; transmission factors from 0.911 to 0.961 . Structure solved by direct methods (MULTAN; Germain, Main \& Woolfson,
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1971). After several cycles of full-matrix least-squares refinement (program based on that by P. K. Gantzel, R. A. Sparks \& K. N. Trueblood, modified by A. T. McPhail), hydrogen atoms placed at geometrically reasonable positions. Non-hydrogen atoms refined anisotropically, hydrogen atoms isotropically. $\sum w\left|\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right|^{2} \quad$ minimized $\quad$ with $\quad w^{1 / 2}=1 \quad$ for $\left|F_{o}\right| \leq 8.0$ and $w^{1 / 2}=8.0 /\left|F_{o}\right|$ for $\left|F_{o}\right|>8.0$. Six low-angle reflections discarded because of probable secondary-extinction effects $(10 \overline{3}, 101,105,20 \overline{4}, 20 \overline{2}$, 202). Convergence at $R=0.068, w R=0.072, S=$ 0.75 . Mean e.s.d. in parameters of non-hydrogen atoms $>15$ times av. $\Delta$; max. $\Delta / \sigma 0.36$ for $z$ coordinate of $\mathrm{C}(16)$. Final difference map smooth, max. residual electron density $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$. Neutral-atom scattering factors from Cromer \& Waber (1965) for C, N, O and from Stewart, Davidson \& Simpson (1965) for H. Illustrations drawn using ORTEP (Johnson, 1965).

Discussion. A view of the molecular conformation adopted by actiphenol is shown in Fig. 1. Final atomic coordinates for the non-hydrogen atoms are listed in Table 1 and interatomic bond lengths and valency angles are in Table 2.*

The benzene ring is planar to within $\pm 0.009 \AA$. Two of the substituents, $O(15)$ and $C(17)$, are significantly displaced $[0.039$ (3) and 0.049 (4) $\AA$, respectively] to the same side of the plane while substituents $C(7)$ and $C(16)$ are very nearly in the plane. The carbonyl moiety of the two-carbon chain linking the two rings lies nearly coplanar with the benzene ring with a $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-$ $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(18)$ torsion angle of $-3.5(4)^{\circ}$ and a $\mathrm{C}(6)-$ $C(1)-C(7)-C(8)$ angle of $-4.4(4)^{\circ}$. This allows a delocalization of the electrons into the carbonyl group.

[^1]Fig. I. A view of the molecular conformation and crystallographic numbering scheme of actiphenol ( $50 \%$ probability ellipsoids).

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ for the non-hydrogen atoms and equivalent isotropic temperature factors $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{4}\right)$, with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

| $U_{\text {eq }}=\frac{1}{3}\left\|U_{11}\left(1 / \sin ^{2} \beta\right)+U_{22}+U_{33}\left(1 / \sin ^{2} \beta\right)+2 U_{13} \cos \beta / \sin ^{2} \beta\right\|$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $-372(3)$ | $1964(6)$ | $3603(2)$ | 456 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $-1078(4)$ | $996(6)$ | $4032(2)$ | 518 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $-1739(4)$ | $-790(6)$ | $3819(2)$ | 569 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $-1697(4)$ | $-1522(6)$ | $3190(2)$ | 598 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $-1026(3)$ | $-590(5)$ | $2742(2)$ | 498 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $-360(3)$ | $1158(6)$ | $2962(2)$ | 486 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $337(3)$ | $3838(6)$ | $3835(2)$ | 472 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1158(4)$ | $4818(6)$ | $3402(2)$ | 465 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1931(3)$ | $6600(5)$ | $3751(2)$ | 408 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $2496(3)$ | $7822(6)$ | $3248(2)$ | 490 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $3271(3)$ | $9561(6)$ | $3570(2)$ | 478 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(12)$ | $3884(3)$ | $9285(5)$ | $4218(1)$ | 485 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $3843(3)$ | $7579(6)$ | $4612(2)$ | 484 |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $2998(4)$ | $5896(5)$ | $4306(2)$ | 508 |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)$ | $-1167(3)$ | $1696(5)$ | $4649(1)$ | 666 |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $-2480(5)$ | $-1822(8)$ | $4284(3)$ | 929 |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $-1044(4)$ | $-1428(7)$ | $2047(2)$ | 675 |
| $\mathrm{O}(18)$ | $262(3)$ | $4590(5)$ | $4377(1)$ | 751 |
| $\mathrm{O}(19)$ | $3379(3)$ | $11169(4)$ | $3283(1)$ | 672 |
| $\mathrm{O}(20)$ | $4479(3)$ | $7495(4)$ | $5171(1)$ | 654 |

Table 2. Interatomic distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$, with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.406 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(18)$ | 1.218 (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.400 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 1.535 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 1.483 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1.510 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.402 (6) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.522 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(15)$ | 1.348 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.494 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.368 (6) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | 1.364 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.502 (7) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(19)$ | 1.225 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.399 (6) | $\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 1.383 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.387 (5) | C(13)-C(14) | 1.495 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.504 (6) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{O}(20)$ | 1.208 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 119.7 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(18)$ | $120 \cdot 5$ (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 119.3 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(18)$ | 119.5 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 121.1 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 113.0 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 119.6 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $110 \cdot 6$ (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(15)$ | 123.5 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 112.1 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(15)$ | 116.9 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 108.3 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 118.6 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 112.2 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 119.2 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | 116.6 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 122.2 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(19)$ | 123.5 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 123.7 (4) | $\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(19)$ | 119.9 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 117.1 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 126.7 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 121.4 (3) | $\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 116.6 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 121.4 (3) | $\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{O}(20)$ | $120 \cdot 1$ (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 121.3 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{O}(20)$ | 123.3 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 120.0 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 113.4 (3) |

This conformation, coupled with the presence of a methyl group at $C(3)$, also promotes an intramolecular hydrogen bond between the hydroxyl group at $C(2)$ and the carbonyl oxygen $O(18)[O \cdots O 2.573$ (4) $\AA$ ]. Short hydrogen bonds, where the $O \cdots O$ separation is $2 \cdot 4-2 \cdot 6 \AA$, have attracted considerable attention (e.g. Joswig, Fuess \& Ferraris, 1982, and references therein; Ichikawa, 1978, and references therein), but most are intermolecular. There have been, however, neutron diffraction studies carried out on two simple molecules incorporating $\beta$-keto enol units, 1 -phenyl-1,3-
butanedione (II) (Jones, 1976b) and 1,3-diphenyl-1,3-propanedione (III) (Jones, 1976a). A comparison of bond lengths shows the hydrogen bond in (I) to be more asymmetric than those in either (II) or (III). The O $\cdots \mathrm{O}$, $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ values for the three compounds are: (I) 2.573 (4), 1.09 (6), 1.56 (6) $\AA$, $151(5)^{\circ}$; (II) $2.489(5), \quad 1 \cdot 238$ (11), 1.322 (12) $\AA$, 153.2 (7) ${ }^{\circ}$; and (III) 2.463 (4), $1 \cdot 161$ (9), $1 \cdot 360$ (9) $\AA$, 154.7 (5) ${ }^{\circ}$. The dimensions observed in (I) are, in part, a result of the opening of the $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(15)$ angle to $123.5(3)^{\circ}$. No such strain relief is displayed by either (II) or (III).

$$
\text { (III) } \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph}
$$

Jeffrey \& Takagi (1978) have suggested that, in order to compare X-ray and neutron diffraction data, the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond lengths of X-ray studies should be normalized to $0.97 \AA$. Contraction of the $\mathrm{O}(15)-\mathrm{H}(15)$ bond results in parameters that make the asymmetry of (I) appear even more marked.

The actual $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ separation in (I) may be longer than that determined crystallographically since the thermal ellipsoids of both $\mathrm{O}(15)$ and O (18) are elongated in a direction perpendicular to the plane of the benzene ring and $\mathrm{H}(15)$ assumes a rather large isotropic thermal parameter $\left(B=9.7 \AA^{2}\right)$.

Cycloheximide, (IV), another glutarimide antibiotic whose solid-state conformation has been determined, crystallizes with two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit (Sayers, Schindler \& Sundaralingam, 1977). In (IV) an intramolecular $-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-$ hydrogen bond is geometrically possible but in neither molecule is it realized.


The endocyclic bond angles in the benzene ring [range $117.1(4)$ to $123.7(4)^{\circ}$ ] show small deformations, which can be explained by the cumulative inductive effects of the substituents. The electronreleasing effect of a methyl group decreases the internal angle at the site of substitution and increases the internal angles next to it. Because the methyl groups are meta to one another the angle at $C(4)$ is particularly large.

The glutarimide ring in actiphenol adopts a 1,2diplanar, or sofa, conformation in which $\mathrm{C}(9)$ lies 0.659 (4) $\AA$ from the plane of the rest of the moiety.

The two independent molecules of cycloheximide differ conformationally. The glutarimide moiety of one closely approximates a 1,2 -diplanar form with $\mathrm{C}(9)$ lying $0.645 \AA$ from the plane of the rest of the ring; in the other molecule, though a $C_{s}$ axis is maintained, the ring is much more puckered and C(9) lies $0.604 \AA$ from the plane of the ring. Thalidomide, (V) (Allen \& Trotter, 1971), also possesses a glutarimido unit but it is substituted at a position $\beta$ (not $\gamma$ ) to the nitrogen, which may explain why it is less symmetrical. The conformation adopted is intermediate between a sofa and a half-chair.

In actiphenol the bond lengths and angles in the glutarimide ring compare well with those in cycloheximide (IV), thalidomide (V), benzitimide [ 3 -( 1 -benzyl4 -piperidyl)-3-phenyl-2,6-piperidinedione] (VI) (Koch \& Dideberg, 1973) and 4,4-dimethyl-2,6-piperidinedione (Bocelli \& Grenier-Loustalot, 1981). In each, the endocyclic angle at the position bearing the alkyl substituent is $c a 108^{\circ}$, the other $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ angles are ca $113^{\circ}$, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})-\mathrm{N}$ angles are $c a 117^{\circ}$ and the $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ angle is large $\left(>125^{\circ}\right)$. That a large $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ angle results when nitrogen bears an extra-annular substituent was first noted by Singh (1965) for pyrimidines and was generalized by Ringertz (1972). This observation has been corroborated by many studies, e.g. in uracil derivatives (Neugebauer \& Lippert, 1982), in 8 -azapurines (Wilson, Wilson, Shoemaker, Wooldridge \& Hodgson, 1982) and in barbiturates (Dideberg, Dupont \& Pyzalska, 1975).

(V)

(VI)

The mean $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ distance in (I) is $1.374 \AA$, a value very close to those observed for barbiturates (e.g. Dideberg et al., 1975; Hsu, Lesser \& Craven, 1975; Escobar, 1975), and for (IV), (V) and (VI). However, though the sum of the $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(19)$ and $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ lengths in (I) is equal to the sum of the $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{O}(20)$ and $\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ lengths, the $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(19)$ bond is $c a$ $4 \sigma$ longer than the $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{O}(20)$ bond and the $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ bond is $c a 4 \sigma$ shorter than the $\mathrm{N}(12)-$ $\mathrm{C}(13)$ bond. It might be expected, because $\mathrm{O}(20)$ is involved in hydrogen bonding and $\mathrm{O}(19)$ is not, that the $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{O}(20)$ length should be the longer. Bond lengths in one of the cycloheximide molecules show the same pattern as those observed in (I) (Sayers et al., 1977).

The molecules pack (Fig. 2) in characteristic fashion (Allen \& Trotter, 1971; Dideberg et al., 1975). Centrosymmetrically related molecules form dimers linked by $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ to $\mathrm{O}(20)$ hydrogen bonds with $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ separations of 2.887 (4) $\AA ; O(19)$ does not take part in


Fig. 2. Unit-cell contents projected down b. Hydrogen bonds are indicated by dashed lines.
hydrogen bonding. The $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ separation compares well with those observed for compounds with similar molecular fragments. The $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}$ angles are typical at $122(1)$ and $172(3)^{\circ}$. Contact between dimers corresponds to normal van der Waals interactions.
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# 2,3:5,6-Di- $O$-isopropylidene- $\alpha$-D-mannofuranose, $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ 

By B. Sheldrick, W. Mackie and D. Akrigg<br>Astbury Department of Biophysics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, England

(Received 19 September 1984; accepted 5 November 1984)

Abstract. $M_{r}=260 \cdot 29$, orthorhombic, $P 2_{1} 2_{2} 2_{1}, a=$ 6.665 (1), $\quad b=10.816$ (1), $\quad c=18.891$ (3) $\AA, \quad V=$ $1362 \AA^{3}, \quad Z=4, \quad D_{x}=1.269 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \quad \lambda(\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha)=$ $1.5418 \AA, \mu=0.766 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, F(000)=560, T=293 \mathrm{~K}$, 0108-2701/85/030431-03\$01.50
final $R=0.0437$ for 1353 unique observed reflections. The fused five-membered rings have envelope configurations with maximum distances from the best planes of -0.205 (4) $\AA[C(7)]$ and 0.247 (2) $\AA[O(4)]$
(c) 1985 International Union of Crystallography
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